

Proposed Amendments to Parking Restrictions - Garfield Place, Faversham

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1		1	Letter of objection - proposed bays will obstruct access
Response 2	Response 2		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 3	Response 3		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 4	Response 4		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 5	Response 5		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 6	Response 6		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 7	Response 7		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 8	Response 8		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 9	Response 9		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 10	Response 10		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 11	Response 11		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 12	Response 12		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 13	Response 13		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 14	Response 14		1	Objection as part of petition - proposals will obstruct accesses
Response 15	Response 15	1		Provided spaces owned by Gange Mews residents are available to them at all times
Response 16	Response 16		1	Area packed with cars already
Response 17	Response 17		1	Area packed with cars already
Response 18	Response 18		1	Area packed with cars already
Response 19	Response 19		1	Everybody working or living here have cars already. Houses opp. Have 7 cars and one van . 7 daily in the offices above the dentist.
Response 20	Response 20	1		Great idea, but people ignore the 30 minute limit for non-permit holders. Traffic wardens rarely seen. More needs to be done.
Response 21	Response 21	1		Will make parking better but still needs to be residents only.
Response 22	Response 22			Only support if marked Residents only. Other drivers come along too fast.
Response 23	Response 23	1		Prefer Residents only Danger from vehicle looking for parking at speed.
Response 24	Duplicate			Hope this will stop non residents of Kingswood Construction using our private parking spaces

ANNEX C**Proposed Amendments to Parking Restrictions - Garfield Place, Faversham**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 25	Duplicate			non-residents park here during the day. Need to be deterred, more traffic wardens on a daily basis or meters to park
Response 26	Response 26	1		This does not effect me
Response 27	Duplicate			Concerned non-permit holders will use spaces as drop-in spaces. The flats and shops already exceed available capacity by a lot
Response 28	Response 28		1	Highway authority determined the layout of this area to take traffic flow with safety when it was built. The proposed scheme would make this area unsafe and would make movement in and out of spaces untenable.
Response 29	Response 29	1		Is it possible to make people display the number of their property in the windscreen? Make it clear that parking is for Gange mews residents.
		6	19	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	27
Number of letters returned	25
Return Percentage	93
Support Percentage	24
Object Percentage	76

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Police	1		no objections see letter
Sitt Ambulance	1		
Faversham Town Council	1		Agreed - it is however, understood that some residents in Gange Mews feel that this may have a detrimental effect on them.
	3	0	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - London Road, Teynham

ANNEX C

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1	1		Dangerous to get out of driveway when cars park both sides. Situation deteriorated over past few months due to customers of hairdressers inconsiderate parking. Ignore request not to block entrance and visibility.
Response 2	Response 2	1		
Response 3	Response 3	1		Restrictions should be increased from Fox PH to Crispins restaurant. This would make Teynham safer and less congested
Response 4	Response 4	1		
Response 5	Response 5	1		Just as long as they do not use our drive instead as they do now
Response 6	Response 6	1		Parking restrictions o/s The Co-op should be enforced - double yellows
Response 7	Response 7	1		Agree this action is necessary. Extending parking restrictions would result in increased traffic speed. Unless restrictions are policed they are not effective. DYL o/s Co-op are ignored all the time
		7	0	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	9
Number of letters returned	7
Return Percentage	78
Support Percentage	100
Object Percentage	0

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Fire station	1		no objections see letter car park is private not public
Sitt Ambulance	1		
police	1		
Teynham PC		1	
	3	1	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Makenade Avenue, Faversham

ANNEX C

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1	1		
Response 2	Response 2		1	Problems with parking at weekends and evenings. Two dropped kerbs have been put in and taken away parking from residents.
Response 3	Response 3		1	Not enough parking spaces. Dropped kerbs have restricted parking. DYL will further limit parking. Residents manage parking themselves with consideration for each other. Strongly object to removing one more space and potentially two to either side of the proposed DYL based primarily on the necessity of provision of parking space for the residents who have a genuine need for parking.
		1	2	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	6
Number of letters returned	3
Return Percentage	50
Support Percentage	33
Object Percentage	67

Other Consultees Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Ambulance		1		
Cllr D Simmons police	Whitehill House Bridge Road	1 1		Residents pleased with proposals and asked about yellow lines at junction with A2 no objections see letter
Faversham Town Council		1		Agreed - it is understood that many residents welcome this proposal
		4	0	TOTAL

Proposed Amendments to Parking Restrictions - Minster Drive/The Leas, Minster**Residents Response**

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1	1		Parking o/s my property every day is becoming a danger for other car users
		1	0	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	3
Number of letters returned	1
Return Percentage	33
Support Percentage	100
Object Percentage	0

Other Consultees Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Minster PC	Minster PC	1		Parish Council supports proposals, but members are of opinion there are more obvious and more pressing locations in Minster that need addressing first
Kent Fire and Rescue		1		
Sitt Ambulance		1		
Police		1		no objections see letter
		4	0	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Murton Place, Graveney

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1		1	Would make it extremely difficult to exit our driveway if cars park opp. Better to use speed bumps
Response 2	Response 2		1	Strongly object. Only problem is at school start and finish times. School will be getting a car park of their own, this will alivate problem. Do not want yellow line o/s my house. Most of the time road is empty. Weekend cars park opposite anyway. This would cause problems for visitors
Response 3	Response 3		1	Parking difficulties will be resolved when school car park in built. Problems only at school start/ finish times. Parking restrictions will result in being unfair to residents.
Response 4	Response 4		1	Not suitable for lines. Problem temporary as car park for school is imminent
Response 5	Response 5		1	Not necessary, minor condition caused by building works now completed
Response 6	Response 6		1	Where would visitors park. No problem at school times
Response 7	Response 7		1	Lived here 8years no problem. Car park being built for school, so parents will not park here. Hardly anyone parks my side of road. ' Spoken to several residents at front of Murton Place they do not have a problem. Resident should realise this is stupid complaint. Where else in country/semi rural is policed by yellow lines. Some residents have not received this letter
Response 8	Response 8		1	comments as above. Plus, who will police this ? Residents on the south side will find it mor difficult to get out of their drives with cars parked on the other side
		0	8	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	7
Number of letters returned	8
Return Percentage	114
Support Percentage	0
Object Percentage	100

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Murton Place, Graveney

ANNEX C

Other Consultees Response

Name		Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Ambulance Police Graveney with Goodnestone	Parish Council	1		no objections see letters
		1	1	Letter from Parish Council advising of recent Parish Council meeting where proposals were discussed. All member of Parish Council attended plus 10 village residents - nobody attending meeting supported proposals. New School car park to be completed this summer - it is hoped this will greatly alleviate if not resolve this issue. Residents felt that a great deal of complaining had been done by only one or two people and the majority of residents are willing to put up with the inconvenience of the parked cars at certain times of the day for a short while longer rather than have to endure the eyesore of yellow lines, not to mention the effect on the value of homes which would appear to be less desirable in consequence.
		2	1	TOTAL

ANNEX C

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Portland Avenue/Tonge Road, Sittingbourne

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1		1	Unless free parking permits are given to residents Already limited parking without even more restrictions. Sleeping policemen would be more beneficial Support but will only add to parking problems Already hard enough to park here. Speed humps would be better solution Very danergous corner, lot of traffic use it. Introduce off street parking area. Next to sub-station piece of waste land or parking permits - residents only Will make problems worse. Where do residents park? Works vans parked here for weeks and not moved. Very frustrating.
Response 2	Response 2		1	
Response 3	Response 3	1		
Response 4	Response 4		1	
Response 5	Response 5	1		
Response 6	Response 6	1		
Response 7	Response 7		1	
		3	4	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	19
Number of letters returned	7
Return Percentage	37
Support Percentage	43
Object Percentage	57

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Fire station	1		no objections see letter
Sitt Ambulance	1		
Police	1		
	3	0	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Wises Lane /Brier Road South Junction, Sittingbourne

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1		1	Never been a problem at 67. Problems at 63-59 and beyond at w/e and evenings. Cannot remember the last time anyone parked on the bend. Need traffic to slow down.
Response 2	Response 2	1		
		1	1	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	5
Number of letters returned	2
Return Percentage	40
Support Percentage	50
Object Percentage	50

Other Consultees Response

Name	Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Ambulance	1		no objections see letter
Sitt Fire Station	1		
Police	1		
Borden PC	1		
	4	0	TOTAL

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Wises Lane Area, Sittingbourne

Residents Response

Name	Address	Support	Object	Notes
Response 1	Response 1	1		If not enforced, it won't mean anything
Response 2	Response 2	1		Support but will encourage London Bus Commuters to park in Brier Road and further up Wises Lane and consequently outside our house.
Response 3	Response 3	1		Waiting restrictions not necessary on Saturdays
Response 4	Response 4	1		Fully support, much needed. Hope measures will be taken to stop vehicles parking on green opp Grove Park Avenue following the tightening of parking restrictions.
Response 5	Response 5	1		In favour of proposal but have serious doubts about the effect it will have on Brier Road. More motorists will park in Brier Road which is already blocked daily by people who park and ride to London by bus. Must find alternative parking for London workers so I can park o/s my house once in a while.
Response 6	Response 6		1	Agree to extending DYL but object to parking restrictions on the East side of Wises Lane for (1) I have to regularly park one of our cars there during the day. If this goes I will have to park in Brier Road. (2) The present line of parked cars produces a traffic calming effect, if the road is clear during the day the traffic will speed up. (3) Feel this will encourage further parking on grass verge adjacent to Grove Park Avenue which is used now when all spaces are taken up.
		5	1	TOTAL

Residents Consulted	14
Number of letters returned	6
Return Percentage	43
Support Percentage	83
Object Percentage	17

ANNEX C

Proposed Parking Restrictions - Wises Lane Area, Sittingbourne

Other Consultees Response

Name		Support	Object	Notes
Sitt Ambulance		1		no objections see letter
Sitt Fire station		1		
Police		1		
Borden PC		1		
		4	0	TOTAL